G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 94 of 1900

Serial Nos. 1–2

~~191~~ 1900.

(G. 7.)

Later references.

P. 17
E

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Confidential
Bills

Serial Nos. 1–2.

Part B for 1900.

SUBJECT.

Re. fund of money in respect of certain Inam lands in Ghattamaranahalli in Sidlaghat Taluk.

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

Indexed
KG

Submitted with draft.

(1)



1900 No. 90

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Revenue & General departments
dd. 16.8 Bangalore

Dated 16. August 1900.

Rs fd. 30

Despatched 1-9-900

Received

General No. 500

No. 69

Enclosure No. 3 & 1 file

Submitted for sanction
an alienation statement
of Pakir & Bhat inamdars
in the Siddlaghatta Taluk
together with refund
bill for Rs 79-11-→
in favour of the manager
of Sri Chandrasekara
Swamy's temple in the
said Taluk —

Rev:
4/9/00.

2nd
7/9

To The General Records:—

Please put up a spare copy of Govt.
Pro: No. 1108-1147 dy 16th April 1892.

No spare copy available
in the Genl Records.

10/9/00

B. 11/9/00 Submitted with draft. 11/9/00

1/2

2

Kolar District Deputy Commissioners Office

Dated the 16.25 August 1900

Rd. fo 30.5

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments,
Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to state that Shabamanana-halli village in the Sidlaghatta Taluk is a Sarvamanyam endowed for the benefit of the Sreechandrasekharaswami temple at Janjamkote, the amount payable to government annually being Rs 36-5-0 inclusive of Rs 18-12-0 on the Fakir and the personal inamties comprized therein as particularized in the margin.

2. The Fakir inam was intended for the support of a nukkan at Janjamkota. During the inam settlement of 1875, it was ordered to be resumed to government, as the nukkan was found to have been in ruins for years. But inadvertently the order of resumption was not brought into effect, nor the full

Fakir inam ℄ k
measuring 0-11-0 k assessed @ Rs 12/12/10
as per Jain account of 1501-2
(2) The Inspectorate assessment as per accounts
Rs 15-12-0 ℄ k
(3) The Survey area now is 6-29 assessed @ Rs 12/12/10.

Personal inam- k
measuring 0-31/2-0 assessed @ Rs 2.2.3 as per Jain accounts
(2) By Settlement assessment noticed at Rs 3-0-0
(3) Not come under survey

full assessment of Rs 12-12-0 with Cess thereon 0-12-7 was demanded till 1886 from the mukkandar. Coercive process were then adopted, and the land sold for Rs 112 in 1888 to one Narasinga-Rau. To admit of the grant of patta and facilitate the Government account, a recommendation was made to the Survey Superintendent to have this land transferred to the nearest Government Village "Hospet". The proposal was approved and Akbar-band to be Corrected in 1890

3. The other personal enquiry was taken up 50 years ago for the Construction of the present Traveller's Bungalow at Jungamakki. No records are forthcoming as to whether inamdar Sripa Bhatta did get any Compensation or land in exchange anywhere. But it appears that he relinquished the land, when it was selected for a public building

4. On the representation of the manager of the temple, the Inam Superintendent

directed him to pay the full quit rents of the Village. Accordingly Rs 18-12-0 forming the aggregate quit rent of Rs 15-12-0 on the Faki's and Rs 3-0-0 on the personal inamtics referred to above, were recovered and credited to the Treasury from 1887-88 to 1890-91, nevertheless the former was resumed and transferred to a government Village, and the latter appropriated for a public building.

5. Subsequently, the Amildar referred the matter to the Superintendent of Inam Settlement pointing out that Rs 18-12-0 had been charged in the revised quit rent register, whereas only the Cess was being paid hitherto, and solicited instructions as to how much should be charged to the village and how much to inamtics separately. The Amildar was informed that Rs 18-12-0 were charged for the two inamtics in question proportionately on the total heriz of the whole Village, and that necessary Corrections should

be made in the accounts, if they have to be alienated from the village.

6. The manager of the temple claims for the refund of the excess collections of Rs 79-11-0 forming the quit rents of the inamtis referred to in para 4 above. Accordingly a refund bill is herewith submitted for sanction with the Treasury officer's certificate, and a remission statement for Rs 159-6-0 brought to book against the village from 1891-92 to 98-99.

7. Though the Jakri inamti has already been transferred to a Government village, a formal alienation seems necessary. Therefore the usual alienation statement for this, as well as for the personal inamti, which now requires to be alienated, is also submitted herewith for sanction with the Vernacular records ending with the 18th Assistant Commissioner's yadi No. 1214 dated the 14th April 1900.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

C Ramaswamy
for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Jaru

6

Rev.]

Statement showing State Lands proposed

District	Taluk	Magani	Village, Estate or Township	As per Village accounts				Parti-
				Survey No.	Area in acres	Assessment	Occupied or waste as per Village Form Khetwar patrik	In what Survey No.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Kolar	Siddlaghatta	Jangamkote	Ghatamanakalli (Sanvarayapalli)	Jakir Inamti Dry a g 7-6 6-17 deduct kharab 6 29		15-12-0		Jakir Inamti
				Bhat Inamti Dry a g 5-8		3-0-0		Bhat Inamti

Kolar District Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated the 30th August 1905

to be alienated in Settled Taluk, Siddaghatta, Kolar District.

culars of grant				For sanction		
Extent of land	Assessment	Class of alienation or grant to private individuals compensated or party in whose name alienated	Whether old village site is to be assessed, a new site being granted or whether the new grant is only to extend old village site	Authority sanctioning alienation	No. and date of order	Remarks
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
a s 7-6 0-17 6.29	15-12-0	To be struck off the accounts of the Sarvamanya Village as the Sakkinamanti (after it was resumed) has been included in the accounts of "Hosapet" a Government Village since 1890.				
a 5-8	3-0-0	To be struck off the accounts of the Sarvamanya Village and included in a Government Village "Hosapet" as the Bhatramanti has been taken for the Construction of a Travellers' Bungalow at Jangamakote				

C. Venkatachary
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Statement showing the Remuneration of Gauth. Lakamanaya Village of Ghatamaandhalli dis.

Village	No	Name of Inamdar	Amount included in the Jama of the Lakamanaiya Vi				
			Year	Dist pent		Less	
Ghatamaandhalli. Lakamanaiya Village.	1	Fakir	1891–92	15	12	"	15
		Pachamuya	1892–93	15	12	"	15
		2 Syed Mustafa	1893–94	15	12	"	15
			1894–95	15	12	"	15
			1895–96	15	12	"	15
			1896–97	15	12	"	15
			1897–98	15	12	"	15
			1898–99	15	12	"	15
			Total	126	"	7	14
	2	Sringeri Bhat	1891–92	3	"	"	3
			1892–93	3	"	"	3
			1893–94	3	"	"	3
			1894–95	3	"	"	3
			1895–96	3	"	"	3
			1896–97	3	"	"	3
			1897–98	3	"	"	3
			1898–99	3	"	"	3
			Total	24	"	1	8
		Grand Total		150	"	9	6

ck of the Taluk Cutcherry, and personally examined and included in the
Panchayathole Koddy of the Siddapatta Taluk, Nilgiri District

Koddy accounts book —	Total			Remarks of the deputy Commissioner	Demand Order. —
	16	11	9		
	16	11	9		
	16	11	9		
	16	11	9		
	16	11	9		
	16	11	9		
	16	11	9		
	16	11	9		
	133	14	0		
	3	3	0		
	3	3	0		
	3	3	0		
	3	3	0		
	3	3	0		
	3	3	0		
	3	3	0		
	3	3	0		
	3	3	0		
	25	8	0		
	159	6	0		

Rupees 159-6-0 a Cheque on the Government Treasury Ootacamund in favour of the Panchayathole Koddy of the Siddapatta Taluk, Nilgiri District, as per receipt of the Panchayathole Koddy Samudayam, a Village of the Kandaswami of the Taluk Cutcherry (enclosed) in payment of Government Revenue Rs 159-6-0 collected by the said Koddy Samudayam during the Fasli year 1285 (A.D. 1875) Receipt for the same is to be granted by the Panchayathole Koddy Samudayam and the Cheque is to be sent to the Taluk Cutcherry for the Countersignature of a Revenue Panchayat as per Government Order.

C Ambrose

Deputy Commissioner

Nilgiris

8

Draft for approval

67
94 ? ~~
2

Read:—

Letter No. 500-69 dated 15th Sep: 1900 from the Dy: Commissioner, Kolar, D. submitting for sanction a refund bill for Rs 79-11-0, a remission Statement for Rs 159-6- and an alienation Statement in respect of certain inam lands in the Ghatamaranahalli Swamanya village of the Siddaghatta taluk:

Read Report
4
15/10/00

R² bypost
23.X.00
Paid
24.X.00

4
21/10
No 3449-52
H 321
27.10.00

R² 26/10/00

(X)

Order thereon:—

Ghatamaranahalli village in the Siddaghatta taluk is a Swamanya endowed for the benefit of the Sriekandasakhanaswami temple at Jengumkote the amount payable to Govt annually being Rs 36-5-0. inclusive of Rs 18-12-0. on the (X) Fakir and (X) Personal Inamtics comprised therein.

Copy
26/10/00

Fakir Inam lands.

Extent.	Assessment
a-g.	Rs As Ps.
6-29	15-12-0.

Personal Inam lands.

Extent	Assessment
K. K. P.	Rs As Ps.
0-3½-0.	3-0-0.

2. The Takri Inam ~~which~~ was m-
-ended for the support of a
Mukkan having been found
to be in ruins for years, the inam was
ordered during the Inam
Settlement of 18?5 to be re-
sumed to Govt. But ~~inadv-~~
~~vertently~~ the order of resump-
tion was not brought into
effect, nor the full assess-
ment, viz, Rs 12-12-10, with cess,
~~and~~ was demanded till
1886. Coercive processes were
then adopted and the
land was sold in 1888.
to one Narasinga Row for
Rs 112/-. The inam land was
then transferred to the
nearest Govt village of Hoosur
and its Ekarband was
accordingly corrected
in 1890.

3. The Personal Inamti
was taken up some 50 years
ago

age for the construction of the present travellers' bungalow at Janemukota. No records available are forthcoming as to whether the Inamdar received any money compensation for land in exchange. But it appears that he has relinquished the land which was selected for a public building.

4. On the representation of the manager of the temple, the Inam Supdt directed him to pay the full quit-rent of the village. Accordingly Rs 18-12-0 were received and credited to the treasury from 1887-8 to 1890-1. The Supdt of Inam Settlement to whom the Amildar had referred the matter pointing out that Rs 18-12-0 had been charged in the revised quit-rent register

register where as only the
excess was being paid, opined
that necessary corrections
should be made in the
accounts if they have to be
alienated from the village.

5. The Dy. Commr. submits
accordingly for the sanction
of Govt a refund bill for
Rs 79-11-0 in favour of the
manager of the temple who
claims the refund of the
excess collections, a remis-
-sion statement for Rs 159-6-0
brought to credit against the
village from 1891-2 to 1898-9
and an alienation state-
ment in respect of the Fakir
Inamdi transferred to the
Govt village Hospet and the Personal
Inam, taken up for the cons-
-truction of the travellers'
Bungalow.

6. Under these circumstances
sanction is hereby accorded

12 to the refund of
amount, viz Rs 79
remission of Rs 15
also to the alien
the Takir and
Inam lands.

N
6/10/00

To

" The Dy: Comm^r K
returning Ve
" " Comptroller
with the Sanc
" " Supdt Rev
copy of the ali
" " Supdt Ina
do

13

1900-1901

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 27th October 1900

No. 3449-52
Fl. 321

Sanctioning a refund bill, together with a remission and an alienation statements, in respect of certain Inam lands in the Ghatamaranahalli Sarvamanya Village, Sidlaghatta Taluk.

SBP—1-11-1900—200

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Financial, dated 27th October 1900.

READ—

Letter No. 500—69, dated 1st September 1900, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar district, submitting, for sanction, a refund bill for Rs. 79-11-0; a remission statement for Rs. 159-6-0, and an alienation statement in respect of certain inam lands in the Ghatamaranahalli Sarvamanya village of the Sidlaghatta taluk.

No. 3449-52—FL. 321, DATED BANGALORE, 27TH OCTOBER 1900.

ORDER THEREON—Ghatamaranahalli, in the Sidlaghatta taluk, is a Sarvamanya village endowed for the benefit of the Sri Chandrasekharaswami temple at Jangamkote, the amount payable to Government annually being Rs. 36-5-0, inclusive of Rs. 18-12-0 on the Fakir* and Personal Inamties† comprised therein.

*Fakir Inam land.

Extent.		Assessment.		
A.	G.	Rs.	a.	p.
6	29	15	12	0

†Personal Inam land.

Extent.			Assessment.		
K.	K.	P.	Rs.	a.	p.
0	3½	0	3	0	0

2. The Fakir inam was intended for the support of a Makkan. It having been found to be in ruins for years, the inam was ordered, during the Inam Settlement of 1875, to be resumed to Government. But the order of resumption was not brought into effect nor the full assessment, *viz.*, Rs. 12-12-10, with cess, demanded till 1886. Coercive processes were then adopted and the land was sold in 1888 to one Narasinga Rao for Rs. 112. The inam land was then transferred to the nearest Government village of Hospet and its akarband was accordingly corrected in 1890.

3. The Personal inamti was taken up some 50 years ago for the construction of the present travellers' bungalow at Jangamkote. No records are reported to be forthcoming as to whether the inamdar received any money compensation for it or any land in exchange. But it appears that he had relinquished the land when it was selected for a public building.

4. On the representation of the manager of the temple, the Inam Superintendent directed him to pay the full quit-rent, &c., of the village. Accordingly Rs. 18-12-0 were recovered and credited to the Treasury from 1887-88 to 1890-91. The Superintendent of Inam Settlements, to whom the Amildar had referred the matter pointing out that Rs. 18-12-0 had been charged in the revised Quit-rent Register whereas only the cess was being paid, opined that necessary corrections should be made in the accounts if they had to be alienated from the village.

5. The Deputy Commissioner submits, accordingly, for the sanction of Government, a refund bill for Rs. 79-11-0 in favor of the manager of the temple who claims the refund of the excess collections, a remission statement for Rs. 159-6-0 brought to the account against the village from 1891-92 to 1898-99, and an alienation statement in respect of the Fakir inamti transferred to the Government village of Hospet and the Personal inam taken up for the construction of the travellers' bungalow.

6. Under these circumstances, sanction is hereby accorded to the refund of the excess amount, *viz.*, Rs. 79-11-0, to the remission of Rs. 159-6-0, and also to the alienation of the Fakir and Personal inam lands.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, returning vernacular papers.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, with the sanctioned bill.
The Superintendent, Revenue Survey, with copy of the alienation statement.
The Superintendent, Inam Settlement, do do.

Exd.—H.A.R.